

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 07/14/2003 10/618,865 03797.00610 6961 Dharma Shukla 28319 10/20/2006 **EXAMINER** BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ROCHE, TRENTON J **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797 1001 G STREET, N.W. **SUITE 1100** WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597

2193 DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/618,8	365	SHUKLA ET AL.	
		Examine	or	Art Unit	
		Trenton .		2193	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	ddress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF T 1.136(a). In no e od will apply and tute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIVENT, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS uplication to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	Julv 2003.			
2a)□	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		objected to by	the Examiner.	
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				FR 1.121(d).
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. N	lote the attached O	ffice Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr				Stage
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the cer	tified copies not red	eived.	
	•				
Attachmen	t(s)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	•		mary (PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		_	ail Date mal Patent Application	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	па г аспі друповіоп	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8 and 29-36, drawn to a method and apparatus for designing a process, including generating high level-code for a visual process, and transforming the high-level code into executable instructions via a compiler and an assembler, classified in class 717, subclass 109.
  - II. Claims 9-28, drawn to a method for constructing a process in a visual environment, through the steps of manipulating and positioning shapes corresponding to commands, classified in class 715, subclass 763.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I is directed to the process of utilizing the visual model for the purposes of generating code which can be compiled and assembled into executable code. The subcombination has separate utility such as defining visual elements of the model and generating errors when elements of the model are not in accordance, and does require the steps of compiling and assembling code as outlined in Invention I.

The Examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where Applicants elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicants are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Kenneth F. Smolik, Reg. No. 44,344 on 11 October 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trenton J. Roche whose telephone number is (571) 272-3733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,865

Art Unit: 2193

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Trenton J Roche Examiner Art Unit 2193

TJR

KAKALI CHAKI

SUPERVISORY PATE TO STAMMER
TECHNOLOGY OF WILL 2100